Expense Ratios

Mutual funds and exchange traded funds (ETFs) offer investors a cost-efficient way to invest in professionally managed portfolios of securities. While it is often tempting to make a purchase based on a fund’s historical performance, informed investors know that past performance is no guarantee of future performance, and that they must review a fund’s prospectus, shareholder reports and portfolio holdings to see if the fund’s risk/return profile aligns with theirs. An informed investor will pay particular attention to a fund’s expense ratio, which, over time, can eat into a fund’s earnings significantly.

What is an Expense Ratio?

Expense ratios, sometimes known as management expense ratios (MERs), are calculations that reflect how much funds charge their investors on an ongoing basis. Expense ratios reflect all recurring fees a fund charges such as management fees, administrative fees and distribution fees. It’s important for investors to understand that expense ratios do not include transactional fees or costs related to sales, such as fees charged to buy or sell fund shares or to compensate brokers. Investors thus should consider a fund’s expense ratio as well as any "sales loads" when evaluating the overall cost of investing in a fund.

How are Expense Ratios Calculated?

An expense ratio is calculated by dividing the fund’s operating expenses by the average dollar value for all of the assets under management within the fund. In other words:

\[
\text{Expense Ratio} = \frac{\text{Annual Fund Fees}}{\text{Total Fund Assets}}
\]

While both mutual funds and ETFs have expense ratios, ETF expense ratios may be lower because they generally are not actively managed (like some mutual funds). For more information on exchange traded funds, see NASAA’s Informed Investor Advisory on Exchange Traded Funds.

A fund’s operating expenses include several different types of expenses paid out of the fund’s assets and can be broadly put into three categories:

- **Management Fees** paid to the fund’s investment adviser for managing the fund’s investment portfolio;
- **Administrative Fees** paid for custodial, brokerage, tax, auditing and other expenses incurred by the fund; and
- **Distribution or Service Fees** paid to cover certain shareholder services (such as the costs of marketing fund shares, disseminating shareholder reports, and operating investor call centers). In the United States, the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (FINRA) caps distribution fees (also known as 12b-1 fees) at 0.75% of a fund’s average net assets on an annual basis. Fees may also include shareholder services expenses for fees paid to respond to investor inquiries and provide information to investors about the fund. FINRA also caps the acceptable threshold for shareholder expenses at 0.25%. (In Canada, expense ratios generally are not capped.)

**Why are Expense Ratios Important?**

A mutual fund’s expense ratio can substantially impact an investor’s returns. For example, if a fund achieves a nominal annual return of 4% but imposes a 2% expense ratio on its investors, investors will realize only a 2% gain. (more)
Factors Affecting Fund Expense Ratios

Passive vs. Active Management – The single biggest determinant of a fund’s expense ratio is likely to be whether the fund is passively managed or actively managed. Passively managed funds, often known as “index funds,” adhere to nondiscretionary investment strategies that do not require substantial ongoing oversight by the fund’s asset manager. In actively managed funds, by contrast, the asset manager will be heavily involved in the fund, making discretionary investment decisions of what to buy and sell. Actively managed funds almost always have higher expense ratios than passively managed funds because of the higher level of ongoing portfolio management services required.

Why are Expense Ratios Important?

Over time, this can cost investors a lot of money. The three hypothetical funds below each assume steady 4% annual returns. However, the Blue Fund’s expense ratio is .25%, the Red Fund’s expense ratio is .50%, and the Green Fund’s expense ratio is 1%. After 20 years, the difference in the three funds’ values – attributable entirely to the difference in fees – can be seen clearly.

In 20 years, 0.50% annual fees reduce the portfolio (red line) by $10,000 compared to a portfolio with a 0.25% annual fee (blue line).

In 20 years, 1.00% annual fees reduce the portfolio (green line) by nearly $30,000, compared to a portfolio with a 0.25% annual fee (blue line).

Source: Investor.gov

Investors should compare expenses when researching funds by reviewing the fund’s prospectus and checking reputable financial websites. FINRA also provides a free Mutual Fund Analyzer to help investors compare mutual fund expenses. When working with a financial professional, he or she should be able to share information about the expenses associated with the fund. By conducting this research, an investor may discover funds with similar investment objectives, offering similar returns but with different expense ratios.

(more)
Factors Affecting Fund Expense Ratios

**Investment Strategy** – Equity funds may have higher expense ratios than bond funds, other things being equal, because of the potentially greater risks and complexities inherent in equity securities. Similarly, the more complex and labor-intensive a fund’s investment strategy is, the more likely it is to have a higher expense ratio. For instance, international funds may have higher expense ratios than domestic funds to cover the costs of maintaining international research operations.

**Portfolio Size** – Small funds (that is, funds with low total assets) are likely to have higher expense ratios than larger funds because smaller funds will necessarily have fewer assets against which to assess their operating costs.

**The Bottom Line**

When comparing funds, investors should evaluate the funds’ overall risk/return profiles. Expense ratios are an important element in such comparisons.